

Assessed By: Dr. Mary Deacon  
 Benchmark NCDA Presentation Proposal Grading Rubric |  
 COUC850\_391\_202340

Criteria	Ratings				Points
Content Quality	50 to >45 pts  Advanced  The following items are well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided)</li> <li>Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident</li> <li>Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA</li> <li>Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme</li> <li>Title reflects the content of the proposal</li> <li>Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript</li> </ul>	45 to >41 pts  Proficient  The following items are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided)</li> <li>Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident</li> <li>Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA</li> <li>Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme</li> <li>Title reflects the content of the proposal</li> <li>Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript</li> </ul>	41 to >0 pts  Developing  The following items are not well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided)</li> <li>Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident</li> <li>Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA</li> <li>Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme</li> <li>Title reflects the content of the proposal</li> <li>Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript</li> </ul>	0 pts  Not Present	50 pts  <b>45</b>
Logistical Information	20 to >17 pts  Advanced  The following items are well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation contact information provided, including email address</li> <li>Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable)</li> <li>Method of presentation provided</li> <li>Equipment needs were listed</li> </ul>	17 to >16 pts  Proficient  The following items are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation contact information provided, including email address</li> <li>Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable)</li> <li>Method of presentation provided</li> <li>Equipment needs were listed</li> </ul>	16 to >0 pts  Developing  The following items are not well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Presentation contact information provided, including email address</li> <li>Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable)</li> <li>Method of presentation provided</li> <li>Equipment needs were listed</li> </ul>	0 pts  Not Present	20 pts

