

Assessed By: Dr. Mary Deacon
 Benchmark NCDA Presentation Proposal Grading Rubric |
 COUC850_391_202340

Criteria	Ratings				Points
Content Quality	50 to >45 pts Advanced The following items are well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided) Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme Title reflects the content of the proposal Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript 	45 to >41 pts Proficient The following items are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided) Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme Title reflects the content of the proposal Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript 	41 to >0 pts Developing The following items are not well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goals are measurable and relevant to the theme (3-4 learning objectives provided) Program Description: must be within the word limit. Reflects content of the manuscript. Relevance to conference theme evident Presentation Focus: Used the categories provided by NCDA Relevance to Theme: Clearly identifies how the proposal reflects the conference theme Title reflects the content of the proposal Evidence of preparation: Proposal was based on the information reported in the manuscript 	0 pts Not Present	50 pts 45
Logistical Information	20 to >17 pts Advanced The following items are well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation contact information provided, including email address Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable) Method of presentation provided Equipment needs were listed 	17 to >16 pts Proficient The following items are met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation contact information provided, including email address Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable) Method of presentation provided Equipment needs were listed 	16 to >0 pts Developing The following items are not well met: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Presentation contact information provided, including email address Program type identified (Presentation, Poster, Roundtable) Method of presentation provided Equipment needs were listed 	0 pts Not Present	20 pts

